What are GLMs?

GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to all types of outcomes!

GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to all types of outcomes!
There are three main components of a GLM:

GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to all types of outcomes!

- There are three main components of a GLM:
  - 1. A random component, where  $Y_i \sim f(y; \theta)$  is **exponential family**.

- GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to all types of outcomes!
  There are three main components of a GLM:
  - 1. A random component, where  $Y_i \sim f(y; \theta)$  is **exponential family**.
  - 2. The linear predictor,  $\eta_i = X_i\beta$ , based on the variates of interest.

- GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to all types of outcomes!
- There are three main components of a GLM:
  - 1. A random component, where  $Y_i \sim f(y; \theta)$  is **exponential family**.
  - 2. The linear predictor,  $\eta_i = X_i\beta$ , based on the variates of interest.
  - 3. A link function,  $g(\cdot)$ , such that  $g(E[Y_i|X_i]) = \eta_i$ .

- GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to all types of outcomes!
- There are three main components of a GLM:
  - 1. A random component, where  $Y_i \sim f(y; \theta)$  is **exponential family**.
  - 2. The linear predictor,  $\eta_i = X_i\beta$ , based on the variates of interest.
  - 3. A link function,  $g(\cdot)$ , such that  $g(E[Y_i|X_i]) = \eta_i$ .
- Can estimate the parameter values using maximum likelihood estimation, numerically through *Fisher-Scoring*.

## Exponential Family

For a random variable, Y, we say that it follows an **exponential family** distribution if it has a density that can be expressed as

$$f(y; heta,\phi) = \exp\left\{rac{y heta-b( heta)}{a(\phi)}+c(y,\phi)
ight\}.$$

We call  $\theta$  the **canonical parameter** and  $\phi$  the **scale** or **dispersion parameter**.

The exponential family provide nice **score functions**, as well as **expected information**. These results give us a relationship between the mean and the variance, specifically,  $E[Y] = b'(\theta)$  and  $var(Y) = a(\phi)b''(\theta)$ .

## **Common Exponential Families**

Distribution	Link Function	Link Form
Normal	Identity	$g(\mu)=\mu$
Exponential	Inverse	$g(\mu)=\mu^{-1}$ ,
Binomial	Logit	$g(\mu) = \log\left(rac{\mu}{1-\mu} ight)$
Poisson	Log	$g(\mu) = \log(\mu)$

These are the **canonical link** functions for various exponential family distributions. In theory, *any* link function can be used, but these have the nice property that  $g(\mu) = \theta$ , where  $\mu$  is the mean of the random variable.

The *primary* limitation of a GLM, as implemented through **maximum likelihood estimation**, is that it **requires** specification of the distribution for Y.

This is not *strictly* necessary!

Instead of specifying the exponential family, we take:

#### ► Instead of specifying the **exponential family**, we take: 1. $E[Y_i|X_i] = g(\eta_i) = \mu_i$

Instead of specifying the exponential family, we take:
 1. E[Y<sub>i</sub>|X<sub>i</sub>] = g(η<sub>i</sub>) = μ<sub>i</sub>
 2. var(Y<sub>i</sub>|X<sub>i</sub>) = φV(μ<sub>i</sub>)

Instead of specifying the exponential family, we take:

 E[Y<sub>i</sub>|X<sub>i</sub>] = g(η<sub>i</sub>) = μ<sub>i</sub>
 var(Y<sub>i</sub>|X<sub>i</sub>) = φV(μ<sub>i</sub>)

 Then, we define U(μ<sub>i</sub>; Y<sub>i</sub>) = Y<sub>i</sub> - μ<sub>i</sub>/φV(μ<sub>i</sub>) to be the quasi-score function.

Instead of specifying the exponential family, we take:

 E[Y<sub>i</sub>|X<sub>i</sub>] = g(η<sub>i</sub>) = μ<sub>i</sub>
 var(Y<sub>i</sub>|X<sub>i</sub>) = φV(μ<sub>i</sub>)

 Then, we define U(μ<sub>i</sub>; Y<sub>i</sub>) = Y<sub>i</sub>-μ<sub>i</sub>/φV(μ<sub>i</sub>) to be the quasi-score function.
 If we solve,

$$U(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \beta} U(\mu_i; \mathbf{Y}_i) \stackrel{!}{=} 0,$$

then this gives us a CAN estimator for  $\beta$ .

For a *correctly* assumed exponential family distribution, **quasi-likelihood** is exactly **likelihood**. However, we got to this point **without any** distributional assumptions!

• Whenever  $\mu_i$  is *correctly* specified,  $\hat{\beta}$  is consistent.

- Whenever μ<sub>i</sub> is *correctly* specified, β̂ is consistent.
   We can estimate the variance of β̂, even if V(μ<sub>i</sub>) is incorrect!

- Whenever  $\mu_i$  is *correctly* specified,  $\hat{\beta}$  is consistent.
- We can estimate the variance of  $\hat{\beta}$ , even if  $V(\mu_i)$  is incorrect!
- > The value of  $\phi$  can be estimated using a modified method of moments approach.

- Whenever  $\mu_i$  is *correctly* specified,  $\hat{\beta}$  is consistent.
- We can estimate the variance of  $\hat{\beta}$ , even if  $V(\mu_i)$  is incorrect!
- > The value of  $\phi$  can be estimated using a modified method of moments approach.
- This will generally be *less* efficient than MLE, but it is more robust!



• GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to **other types of outcome data**.

## Summary

GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to other types of outcome data.
 GLMs can be fit using MLE by specifying a random (exponential family) distribution, a linear predictor, and a link function.

## Summary

- GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to other types of outcome data.
- GLMs can be fit using MLE by specifying a random (exponential family) distribution, a linear predictor, and a link function.
- GLMs can be fit without the need for a distributional assumption, by leveraging quasi-likelihood estimation.

## Summary

- GLMs extend the ideas of linear regression to other types of outcome data.
- GLMs can be fit using MLE by specifying a random (exponential family) distribution, a linear predictor, and a link function.
- GLMs can be fit without the need for a distributional assumption, by leveraging quasi-likelihood estimation.
- **However**, like linear regression models, GLMs assume **IID data**. Oh no.